



PUBLIC RI REPORT

2021 PILOT

DESJARDINS GLOBAL ASSETS MANAGEMENT

Generated 2022-11-21

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	30
Listed Equity (LE)	62
Fixed Income (FI)	82
Real Estate (RE)	99
Infrastructure (INF)	112

Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

Senior leadership statement

Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

- o **Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?**
- o **What is your organisation’s overall approach to responsible investment?**
- o **What are the main differences between your organisation’s approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?**

At Desjardins Global Asset Management (DGAM), responsible investment (RI) is more than a trend: It’s key to our investment philosophy. We are guided by our commitment to contribute to sustainable prosperity for our stakeholders while making a positive impact on society. We plan on accomplishing this by leveraging our expertise in asset management and incorporating environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors to our investment framework; we are guided by four key convictions: (1) consistency (2) action and innovation (3) long-term vision and (4) inspiring and influencing others.

Desjardins has offered RI solutions for over 30 years. Being known for our expertise has enabled us to not only keep up with the changing needs of our partners and clients, but to also influence change. Our leadership is not limited to portfolio weights and trades. We have fully integrated RI processes and guidelines to assess and manage investment opportunities and risk. Our approach to shareholder engagement plays a fundamental role in encouraging the companies we invest in to improve their ESG practices. Consequently, several key topics (energy transition, board diversity, etc.) are priority issues that guide our votes, our discussions with management and our research and influence efforts. Furthermore, we collaborate with organizations around the world to increase the visibility, relevance and impact of RI.

Over the last few years, we have made significant enhancements to our internal and external RI practices.

Internally, we expanded our offering by launching Canada’s first range of RI ETFs and have expanded our ESG analysis into the management of our real estate portfolio (~CAD \$4BN). To meet our fiduciary obligations, we adopted a governance structure to set and achieve targets in line with the Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB) and the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI), to which we have been signatories of since 2017. As a result, our RI approach is now adapted across all asset classes. More recently, we onboarded an infrastructure team and our dedicated team of RI specialists have been working hard to ensure that our rigorous ESG approach is integrated into this asset class as well.

We are proud supporters of our parent organization’s ambitious capital management strategy. Among other measures (such as targets and guidelines as it relates to coal and tobacco), it set a 25% reduction in the carbon footprint of the companies in our portfolios (vs. market benchmarks) and prioritizing renewable energy for our direct infrastructure investments.

Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- **Discuss your organisation’s progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.**
- **Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation’s responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:**
 - **refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation**
 - **stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers**
 - **collaborative engagements**
 - **attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards**

This year, DGAM has made significant progress in several key areas of our RI practices. Select activities include:

- We are most proud of not only achieving but exceeding our target of reducing the carbon footprint of Desjardins’ portfolios by 32% (the original target was 25%).
- We recently welcomed the infrastructure team and are in the process of enhancing the sophistication of our ESG integration within this asset class.
- We continue to refine and enhance ESG analyses and integration in our “traditional” assets.
- We finalized the design of an in-house ESG grid used to analyze issuers.
- We support the formal education of our employees – today, more than 10% of our employees have passed the SASB level 1 exam in order to obtain FSA accreditation.
- We launched two new ESG products in the climate change thematic (multi-factor and market cap weighted strategies) which was a result of listening to the market and responding to their needs.

We continue to be active shareholders, and the scope and magnitude of our engagement activities over the year included:

- Carried out 172 dialogues with companies to discuss ESG integration, 34% of the dialogues focused on climate change, 27% on diversity and 11% on cybersecurity.
- Joined a coalition of more than 28 investors calling on TMX Group to facilitate ESG disclosure for issuers, and to align with SASB and TCFD disclosure frameworks.

- In partnership with our parent organization, part of the founding signatories of the Declaration of Canadian Investors on Diversity and Inclusion, committed to promoting diversity and inclusion in our portfolios and institutions.
 - In partnership with our parent organization, responded to the consultation of the Ontario Task Force on Modernization of Financial Markets, a group whose objective is to modernize Ontario's financial markets with ESG disclosure and diversity being a key topic.
- We also believe it is our duty to be transparent and assist in education. As such, we published our first responsible investment report, which presents the ESG integration processes in portfolio management, priority ESG issues and 2019 achievements. We also wrote our inaugural white paper on shareholder engagement.
- Our organization has proudly sponsored the Montreal division of the National Social Value Fund, a Canadian non-profit that is an effort between local universities and businesses that uses an impact-first approach to invest in social enterprises, non-profits and cooperatives who are under-served by the current impact investing market in Canada.

Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Looking forward, DGAM has ambitious goals and targets that we have set for both the short-term and long-term future. These goals can be divided into both internal implementation and collaboration with external stakeholders. Internally, we plan to implement ESG specific objectives for all teams & individuals, as well as intensify ESG integration in our non-ESG solutions. We are also focused on increased and enhanced communication (specifically as it relates to the sustainability arm of ESG) as well as research and innovation. Externally, we are excited to work with our partners to play a more instrumental role in the education (authorities, financial market, companies, customers, academics) and work with our parent company towards a decarbonization target aligned with Paris Agreement.

Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	Nicolas Richard
Position	President & CEO
Organisation's name	Desjardins Global Asset Management

● This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by DESJARDINS GLOBAL ASSETS MANAGEMENT in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of DESJARDINS GLOBAL ASSETS MANAGEMENT's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

Organisational Overview (OO)

Organisational information

Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

(O) Fund management	(1) This is our only (or primary) type
(P) Fund of funds, manager of managers or sub-advised products	(2) This is an additional (secondary) type
(Q) Execution and advisory	(2) This is an additional (secondary) type
(S) Fiduciary management or other outsourced discretionary fund allocation	(2) This is an additional (secondary) type

Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

Assets under management

All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries	US\$ 63,340,679,709.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission	US\$ 0.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

Percentage of AUM	
(A) Listed equity – internal	10-50%
(B) Listed equity – external	0.0%
(C) Fixed income – internal	>75%

(D) Fixed income – external	0.0%
(E) Private equity – internal	0.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0-10%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	0-10%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0-10%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%
(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	0.0%
(R) Other – external, please specify:	0.0%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your listed equity assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Passive equity	10-50%
(2) Active – quantitative	0.0%
(3) Active – fundamental	50-75%
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	0.0%
(5) Other, please specify:	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your fixed income assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Passive – SSA	50-75%
(2) Passive – corporate	10-50%
(3) Passive – securitised	0.0%
(4) Active – SSA	10-50%

(5) Active – corporate 10-50%

(6) Active – securitised 0.0%

(7) Private debt 0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 RE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your real estate assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Retail 10-50%

(2) Office 10-50%

(3) Industrial 0-10%

(4) Residential 10-50%

(5) Hotel 0.0%

(6) Lodging, leisure and recreation 0.0%

(7) Education 0.0%

(8) Technology/science 0.0%

(9) Healthcare 0.0%

(10) Mixed use 0.0%

(11) Other, please specify:

Land 0-10%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 INF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your infrastructure assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Data infrastructure	0.0%
(2) Energy and water resources	10-50%
(3) Environmental services	0.0%
(4) Network utilities	0.0%
(5) Power generation (excl. renewables)	0.0%
(6) Renewable power	10-50%
(7) Social infrastructure	0.0%
(8) Transport	10-50%
(9) Other, please specify:	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 HF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	OO 9 HF, OO 10	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your hedge fund assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Multi strategy	0.0%
(2) Long/short equity	>75%

(3) Long/short credit	0.0%
(4) Distressed, special situations and event-driven fundamental	0.0%
(5) Structured credit	0.0%
(6) Global macro	0.0%
(7) Commodity trading advisor	0.0%
(8) Other, please specify:	0.0%

ESG strategies

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 LE	CORE	OO 5.2 LE	OO 6.1 LE, LE 13	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity:

(A) Screening alone	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	50-75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	25-50%

(H) None 0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 LE	CORE	OO 6 LE	LE 8	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active listed equity assets?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equities where screening strategy is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	25-50%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	50-75%

Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 FI	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate
(A) Screening alone	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%	50-75%

(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%	25-50%
(H) None	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 FI	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%	50-75%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0.0%	25-50%

Hedge funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 HF	CORE	OO 5	HF 10	PUBLIC	Hedge funds	1

Do you conduct negative screening on your hedge fund assets?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

Stewardship

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	(1) Engagement on listed equity – active	(2) Engagement on listed equity – passive	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active	(4) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – passive
(A) Through service providers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Collaboratively	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your fixed income assets?

	(1) Passive – SSA	(2) Passive – corporate	(4) Active – SSA	(5) Active – corporate
(A) Through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(C) Through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Collaboratively	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity for this strategy/asset type	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Private equity, real estate and infrastructure

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 ALT	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Private equity, real estate and infrastructure	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities in the following asset classes?

	(2) Real estate	(3) Infrastructure
(A) Through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Collaboratively	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not conduct stewardship activities for this asset class	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Hedge funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 HF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 HF	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Hedge funds	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your hedge fund assets?

	(1) Engagement	(2) (Proxy) voting
(A) Through service providers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Through internal staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Collaboratively	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ESG incorporation

Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

	(1) ESG incorporated into investment decisions	(2) ESG not incorporated into investment decisions
(A) Listed equity – passive	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

(F) Fixed income – SSA	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Fixed income – corporate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(K) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(L) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(N) Hedge funds - Long/short equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Voluntary reporting

Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules as they account for less than 10% of your total AUM and are under USD 10 billion. Please select if you wish to voluntarily report on the module.

(1) Yes, report on the module

(2) No, opt out of reporting on the module

(G) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(H) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Hedge funds	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

The following modules are mandatory to report on as they account for 10% or more of your total AUM or are over USD 10 billion. The ISP (Investment and Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable for reporting.

(1) Yes, report on the module

ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(A) Listed equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) Fixed income – SSA	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(C) Fixed income – corporate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

ESG/sustainability funds and products

Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

	Percentage
(A) Listed equity – passive	25-50%
(B) Listed equity – active	50-75%
(C) Fixed income – passive	>75%
(D) Fixed income – active	50-75%
(F) Real estate	>75%
(G) Infrastructure	25-50%

(H) Hedge funds 0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16.1	CORE	OO 16	ISP 52	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your total assets (per asset class) carry a formal ESG/RI certification or label? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5%.

Coverage of ESG/RI certification or label:

(A) Listed equity 0.0%

(B) Fixed income 0.0%

(D) Real estate 0.0%

(E) Infrastructure 0.0%

Climate investments

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

0-25%

Other asset breakdowns

Geographical breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income – SSA	(3) Fixed income – corporate	(7) Real estate	(8) Infrastructure	(9) Hedge funds
(A) Developed	>75%	>75%	>75%	>75%	>75%	>75%
(B) Emerging	0-25%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Fixed income constraints

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	OO 20.1	PUBLIC	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL

What percentage of your fixed income assets are subject to constraints? The constraints may be regulatory requirements, credit quality restrictions, currency constraints or similar.

Internal and external fixed income assets subject to constraints

(A) Fixed income – SSA	>75%
------------------------	------

(B) Fixed income – corporate >75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20.1	CORE	OO 20	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL

Describe the constraints to your fixed income assets.

Fixed income constraints

(A) Fixed income – SSA

Client investment policy statements require a minimum government rating (provincial, municipal)

(B) Fixed income – corporate

Client investment policy statements contain credit quality limits

Real estate: Building type

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 24	CORE	OO 5	RE 1, RE 9, RE 10	PUBLIC	Real estate: Building type	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct physical real estate assets by strategy?

Percentage total of direct physical real estate AUM

(A) Standing investments >75%

(B) New construction 0-25%

(C) Major renovation 0-25%

Real estate: Ownership level

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 25	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Real estate: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct physical real estate assets by level of ownership?

(A) A majority stake (50% and above)	(5) >75%
(B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)	(2) 1–10%
(C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)	(1) 0%

Real estate: Management type

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 26	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Real estate: Management type	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct physical real estate assets based on who manages these assets?

(A) Directly by our organisation	(1) 0%
(B) By external property managers that our organisation appoints	(4) 51–75%
(C) By other investors or their property managers	(3) 11–50%
(D) By a tenant(s) with operational control	(1) 0%

Infrastructure: Fund strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 27	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Fund strategy	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure investments by fund type?

(A) Open-ended (3) 11–50%

(B) Closed-ended (5) >75%

Infrastructure: Nature of ownership

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 28	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Nature of ownership	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure assets by investment vehicle?

(A) Direct investment (3) 11–50%

(B) Limited liability company or partnership (5) >75%

(C) Joint venture (JV) with a government entity (1) 0%

(D) Joint venture (JV) with a private entity (1) 0%

(E) Joint venture (JV) with a public entity (1) 0%

(F) Separate account (1) 0%

(G) Special purpose vehicle (1) 0%

Infrastructure: Ownership level

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 29	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure assets by level of ownership?

(A) A majority stake (50% and above)	(2) 1-10%
(B) A significant minority stake (between 10-50%)	(3) 11-50%
(C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)	(4) 51-75%

Infrastructure: Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 30	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Strategy	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's internally managed infrastructure assets by investment strategy?

Percentage of total internally managed infrastructure AUM	
(A) Core	50-75%
(B) Value added	25-50%
(C) Opportunistic	0-25%

Infrastructure: Type of asset

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 31	CORE	OO 5	INF 1	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Type of asset	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your infrastructure assets by strategy?

Percentage of total internally managed infrastructure AUM

(A) Standing investments/operating assets	>75%
(B) New construction	0-25%
(C) Major renovation	0.0%

Infrastructure: Management type

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Management type	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct infrastructure assets based on who manages these assets?

(A) Directly by our organisation	(1) 0%
(B) By third party infrastructure operators that we appoint	(3) 11-50%
(C) By other investors or their third party operators	(4) 51-75%
(D) By public or government entities or their third party operators	(1) 0%

Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

Responsible investment policy & governance

Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

- (A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment
- (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (E) Approach to stewardship
- (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- (G) Approach to exclusions
- (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- (K) Responsible investment governance structure

- (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment
- (M) External reporting related to responsible investment
- (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-responsible-investment-guidelines-en.pdf?navigMW=la&>
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&> page 8 to 11
- (C) Guidelines on social factors. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&> page 8 to 11
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&> page 8 to 11
- (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-responsible-investment-guidelines-en.pdf?navigMW=la&> and
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&> page 12 and
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/c15-policy-exercice-voting-right-e.pdf?navigMW=la&>
- (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-responsible-investment-guidelines-en.pdf?navigMW=la&>
- (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-responsible-investment-guidelines-en.pdf?navigMW=la&> and
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&> page 8 to 11
- (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-responsible-investment-guidelines-en.pdf?navigMW=la&> and
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&> page 8 to 11 And
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/c15-placements-immobiliers-resp-e.pdf?navigMW=la&>
- (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-responsible-investment-guidelines-en.pdf?navigMW=la&>
- (K) Responsible investment governance structure. Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-responsible-investment-guidelines-en.pdf?navigMW=la&>

(L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&>

(M) External reporting related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-responsible-investment-guidelines-en.pdf?navigMW=la&>

(P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors

AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

>75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

- (A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)
- (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, tobacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)
- (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented?

AUM Coverage:

(A) Listed Equity	>75%
(B) Fixed Income	>75%
(D) Real Estate	>75%
(E) Infrastructure	>75%
(F) Hedge Funds	0.0%

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- (A) Board and/or trustees
- (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- (C) Investment committee
- (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
- (E) Head of department, please specify department:
 - Research and Responsible Investment
- (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- (A) Board and/or trustees
- (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- (C) Investment committee
- (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- (E) Head of department [as specified]
- (F) Portfolio managers
- (G) Investment analysts
- (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- (I) Investor relations
- (J) External managers or service providers
- (K) Other role, please specify:
- (L) Other role, please specify:
- (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(1) Board and/or trustees	(2) Chief-level staff	(3) Investment committee	(5) Head of department [as specified]	(6) Portfolio managers
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(7) Investment analysts	(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff	(9) Investor relations	(10) External managers or service providers	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]

(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

Which responsible investment objectives are linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation?

RI objectives linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation:

(1) Board and/or trustees

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

(2) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)

(D) Objective for ESG performance

(3) Investment committee

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities

(D) Objective for ESG performance

(5) Head of department

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)

(D) Objective for ESG performance

(6) Portfolio managers

(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities

(D) Objective for ESG performance

(7) Investment analysts

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(9) Investor relations

(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------

(10) External managers or service providers

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

- (A) Quarterly or more frequently
- (B) Bi-annually
- (C) **Annually**
- (D) Less frequently than annually
- (E) On an ad hoc basis
- (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

- (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation
- (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

Stewardship

Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?

(A) Listed equity	>75%
(B) Fixed income	>75%
(D) Real estate	>75%
(E) Infrastructure	>75%
(F) Hedge funds	>75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

- (A) Key stewardship objectives
- (B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets
- (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)
- (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities
- (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)
- (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship
- (I) Escalation strategies
- (J) Conflicts of interest
- (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled
- (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

- (A) It requires our organisation to take certain actions
- (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)
- (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional
- (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructure	(6) Hedge funds
(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructure	(6) Hedge funds
(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) The ESG rating of the entity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

- (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts
- (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool
- (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation
- (D) We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Escalation strategies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 20	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Hedge funds
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Publicly engaging the entity (e.g. open letter)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Voting against the annual financial report	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(H) We do not have any restrictions on the escalation measures we can use	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- (A) We engage with policymakers directly
- (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers
- (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.1	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

What methods do you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- (A) We participate in "sign-on" letters on ESG policy topics. Describe:
- (B) We respond to policy consultations on ESG policy topics. Describe:
 The governmental relations entity at Desjardins Group level is responsible to engage with policymakers for Desjardins and its entities, such as DGAM. DGAM works closely with the Desjardins'governmental relations'team for interactions with various government bodies. In 2020, DGAM directly participated in responding to the consultation of the Ontario Task Force on Modernization of Financial Markets, a group whose objective is to modernize Ontario's financial markets. Our comments were focused on the recommendations pertaining to ESG disclosure and diversity.

(C) We provide technical input on ESG policy change. Describe:

As a Desjardins' representative sit on the technical committee, DGAM contributed to the elaboration of the Final Report of the Expert Panel on Sustainable Finance - Mobilizing Finance for Sustainable Growth supported by the Government of Canada. Desjardins' representative was also seating in the Canadian Standards Association "Transition Finance Taxonomy" Group, (part of a National Standard of Canada for Transition Finance) to develop a transition finance taxonomy.

(D) We proactively engage financial regulators on financial regulatory topics regarding ESG integration, stewardship, disclosure or similar. Describe:

Since the promotion of sustainable finance is part of the Desjardins Group's strategic plan, engagement with financial regulators is aligned with the corporate strategy. In 2020, Desjardins Group proactively engaged with financial regulators and legislators by sending letters to welcome new members, responding to briefs and consultations, all while sharing Desjardins's view on a more sustainable economy and finance and the need for better ESG disclosure.

(E) We proactively engage regulators and policymakers on other policy topics. Describe:

Through its membership of the Powering Past Coal Alliance, Desjardins is partnering with governments to lead the energy transition. The PPCA Finance Taskforce members engage in joint advocacy among policy makers and businesses, promote the shift of finance from coal to clean energy in the public debate, and ensure financial markets and policy makers are informed about phase-out plans and coal financing flows.

(F) Other methods used to engage with policymakers. Describe:

In multiple events and conferences, Desjardins CEO influences policymakers by sharing the organization's ambition and importance of playing an active role in sustainable finance in Canada and globally.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.2	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

Do you have governance processes in place (e.g. board accountability and oversight, regular monitoring and review of relationships) that ensure your policy activities, including those through third parties, are aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?

(A) Yes, we have governance processes in place to ensure that our policy activities are aligned with our position on sustainable finance and our commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI. Describe your governance processes:

Desjardins policy is built out of the organization's strategic plan, and Sustainable Finance is one of Desjardins' key tenants. Desjardins has a governance structure in place to ensure oversight and alignment across the organisation. Sustainable Finance issues are supervised by the Board of Directors, with the support of the Corporate Governance and Responsible Finance Commission and the Risk Management Commission. The Corporate Governance and Responsible Finance Commission ensures that Sustainable Finance issues are included in the overall strategy, which is implemented and monitored by the Desjardins Group Management Committee. The Desjardins Group Management Committee, supported by the ESG Steering Committee and the Finance and Risk Management Committee, is responsible for the implementation of the organization-wide sustainable finance strategy. The ESG Steering Committee is made up of 14 representatives, including DGAM's CEO, who represent our core business areas. The steering committee is supported by two cross-sector ESG working groups (representing our business sectors and support functions respectively) and by two working groups on climate-related risk quantification and disclosure, DGAM is an active member of two of these working group. For more information, see page 42 from Desjardins CSR report : <https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/d50-rapport-sociale-2020-e.pdf?resVer=1616717356000>

(B) No, we do not have these governance processes in place. Please explain why not:

Engaging policymakers – Policies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 24	CORE	ISP 23	ISP 24.1	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Policies	2

Do you have policies in place that ensure that your political influence as an organisation is aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?

(A) Yes, we have a policy(ies) in place. Describe your policy(ies):

Desjardins has a Sustainable Development Policy that seeks to ensure the organization leads by example to influence public policy and encourage businesses and individuals to commit to sustainable development and the “Just Energy Transition”. It also includes stipulations for Desjardins Group departments, such as the prohibition of donating money to political parties or organizations.

(B) No, we do not a policy(ies) in place. Please explain why not:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 24.1	CORE	ISP 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Policies	2

Is your policy that ensures alignment between your political influence and your position on sustainable finance publicly disclosed?

(A) Yes. Add link(s):

<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/d50-politique-dd-mvt-2020-e.pdf>

(B) No, we do not publicly disclose this policy(ies)

Engaging policymakers – Transparency

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 25	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Transparency	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose your policy engagement activities or those conducted on your behalf by external investment managers/service providers?

(A) We publicly disclosed details of our policy engagement activities. Add link(s):

<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&page=11> and <https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/d50-rapport-sociale-2020-e.pdf?resVer=1616717356000>

(B) We publicly disclosed a list of our third-party memberships in or support for trade associations, think-tanks or similar that conduct policy engagement activities with our support or endorsement. Add link(s):

(C) No, we did not publicly disclose our policy engagements activities during the reporting year. Explain why:

(D) Not applicable, we did not conduct policy engagement activities

Climate change

Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

(A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:

We have made commitments to fight against climate change and we are supporters of TCFD : <https://www.desjardins.com/ca/about-us/newsroom/climate-change-commitments/index.jsp> and <https://www.fsb-tcfd.org/supporters/>

(B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

(A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:

https://www.fsb-tcfid.org/supporters/____ and <https://blogues.desjardins.com/communiqués-de-presse/2018/03/desjardins-sassocie-aux-nations-unies-pour-ameliorer-la-transparence-climatique-en-pilotant-les-reco.php>

(B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

(A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

(B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:

(C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:

(D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:

DGAM's Board of Directors has delegated the organization's ESG strategy to DGAM's Executives Committee. DGAM's Executives ensure that objectives regarding carbon footprint objectives are aligned with the management of the portfolios. They then set specific objectives to identify ways of integrating climate change issues into investment solutions.

(E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:

DGAM has an objective that the carbon footprint of Desjardins own assets invested in the markets to be 25% less than the average greenhouse gas emissions of the companies that make up the stock and bond market indices. This target was monitored at a Desjardins'Group board level on a quarterly basis.

(F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:

(G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:

The decisions of DGAM board and DGAM's executive regarding climate issues are closely linked to those made by Desjardins, a DGAM board member also sits on the Desjardins ESG Steering Committee. This committee meets monthly.

(H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

(A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:

Climate change risks and opportunities are identified, assessed and managed as part of a multidisciplinary company-wide process and are fully integrated in the risk management and governance activities at the Desjardins Group level. Business and Risk experts from all business lines, including DGAM and Operations, are involved in the analysis. The Business Experts are responsible for identifying climate change risk specific to their field of expertise, either at the business sector level or on a companywide basis. They are also required to identify the risk level (low, moderate or high) associated with each identified risk. They work collaboratively with the Risk Experts.

(B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:

All business lines risk experts are also required to identify the risk level (low, moderate or high) associated with each identified risk and establish measurements to track them. Action plans are required to address each risk as well as timeline and implementation responsibilities. Semi-annually, the Sustainability Department is responsible to follow up on the implementation of the actions plans that are under the responsibility of each business sectors, in collaboration with the Risk Management Department. As far as identifying the opportunities related to climate changes, the process is the same.

(C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

Results and recommendations are presented to Desjardins Group Finance and Risk Management Committee, which reports to the Desjardins Management Committee and the Risks Management Commission. In addition, DGAM is part of a piloting group of investors and United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP-FI) working on climate scenario.

(D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:

DGAM has access to the different tools, data suppliers and experts to assess and analyze the different climate-related risks and opportunities. Training on the topic of climate change assessment is encouraged and made available to the staff through recognized third party suppliers. Moreover, DGAM's portfolio managers, directors and analysts are encouraged to pass the SASB standards.

(E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:

(F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

From the perspective of Desjardins Group, the following risks and opportunities have been identified: physical, transition, regulatory, technology, reputation and market risks. These risks present also opportunities in ways to hedge climate-related risks in our portfolios following the same classification as the one identified for the risks.

DGAM defines current and future regulations, including those related to climate change and environmental protection, as short-term risk. Laws for carbon caps and trade, carbon pricing and emission reductions could negatively affect the companies we invest in. They could also affect the suppliers of these issuers, especially those with a large carbon footprint. Ignoring increasing client demand for environmentally friendly products has been identified as a financial risk that could result in a loss of clients to our competitors. Moreover, underestimating climate-related risks and opportunities in any strategy, or pursuing policies and practices that don't reflect our values could result in reputational harm. That, in turn, could have a negative impact on our financial results.

(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

Among transition risks, we give a special attention to stranded assets, especially for the utilities and energy sectors. Transition to a low-carbon economy can precipitate the recognition of certain assets as “stranded assets” and have important financial impact.

(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

Physical risks include the state of climate resiliency of an organization and can be caused by extreme weather events, such as heat waves, droughts, floods, wildfires or tornadoes. We believe physical risks are important in risk analysis because they may affect our organization's finances, real estate, operations, business continuity and staffing (e.g., devalued assets and investments and/or spike in absenteeism), as well as those of the companies we invest in. Direct physical risk involves operations affected by the extreme weather events aforementioned. For example, factories can be damaged by floods. Aluminium, steel and cement assets have identified as asset with direct exposure to physical climate risk, since they are usually built close to a water source to answer their high demand in fresh water.

(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

Physical risks include the state of climate resiliency of an organization and can be caused by extreme weather events, such as heat waves, droughts, floods, wildfires or tornadoes. They are important in risk analysis because they may affect our own organization's finances, real estate, operations, business continuity and staffing (e.g., devalued assets and investments and/or spike in absenteeism), as well as those of the companies we invest in. Indirect physical risks include activities affected by water scarcity caused by recurring droughts. Water intensive production are identified and analysed under climate change scenarios that can affect their water source. Sectors affected include amongst others, production of electronics, extraction of raw materials (gold, copper) and transformation of raw materials (aluminium, cement).

(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

By prioritizing sustainability in our strategic plan, we've set goals not only to reduce risk, but also to seize opportunities when adapting to and fighting against climate change, as well as enabling a just energy transition. DGAM also offers investment products that incentivize green behaviour. As mentioned above, the RI ETFs that were launched last year are the first of their kind in Canada. Select ETFs target a lower carbon output for the portfolio; another avoids investing in fossil fuels altogether.

(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

We track our investment volumes in the renewable energy sector. DGAM, in partnership with the Desjardins Group Pension Plan, has over \$1 billion invested in large-scale renewable energy infrastructure.

(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:

(H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–30 years	(7) >30 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

DGAM's investment philosophy is centered around a long-term investment horizon for all mandates. Therefore, all climate-related risks and opportunities are evaluated with the focus of long-term positioning. In other words, certain risks and opportunities will have an impact in the short/mid term, but we focus on the long-term impact of climate change on our portfolios. Moreover, DGAM was part of a piloting group of investors and UNEP-FI to work on scenario analyses in 2019.

(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

The energy and utilities sectors are sectors we have identified that are at risk of being stranded during the transition to a low-carbon economy. Stranded assets can have a financial impact on the different enterprises for the long-term, which through our engagements, incite us to encourage them to begin their transition sooner rather than later.

(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

Almost every assets will be impacted in the long-term by direct and/or indirect physical climate risk. DGAM includes these perspectives in our investment time horizon.

(D) **Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:**

Almost every assets will be impacted in the long-term by direct and/or indirect physical climate risk. DGAM includes these perspectives in our investment time horizon.

(E) **Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:**

By prioritizing sustainability in our strategic plan, we've set goals not only to reduce risk, but also to seize opportunities when adapting to and fighting against climate change, as well as enabling a just energy transition. DGAM also offers investing products that incentivize green behaviour. The RI ETFs mentioned above that was launched last year are the first of their kind in Canada. Select ETFs target a lower carbon output for the portfolio; another avoids investing in fossil fuels altogether.

(F) **Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:**

We also track our investment volumes in the renewable energy sector. DGAM, in partnership with the Desjardins Group Pension Plan has over \$1 billion invested in large-scale renewable energy infrastructure.

(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:

(H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

(A) **An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario**

(B) **An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response**

(C) **A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario**

(D) Other climate scenario, specify:

(E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

Sustainability outcomes

Set policies on sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 40	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Where is your approach to sustainability outcomes set out? Your policy/guideline may be a standalone document or part of a wider responsible investment policy.

- (A) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our responsible investment policy
- (B) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our exclusion policy
- (C) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our stewardship policy
- (D) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in asset class-specific investment guidelines
- (E) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in separate guidelines on specific outcomes (e.g. the SDGs, climate or human rights)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 41	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which global or regionally recognised frameworks do your policies and guidelines on sustainability outcomes refer to?

- (A) The SDG goals and targets
- (B) **The Paris Agreement**
- (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- (E) **Other frameworks, please specify:**
 - UN Global Compact's Principles
- (F) Other frameworks, please specify:

Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities
- (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

- (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- (B) The Paris Agreement
- (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- (E) The EU Taxonomy
- (F) Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:
- (G) Other framework/tool, please specify:
SASB
- (H) Other framework/tool, please specify:
TCFD
- (I) Other framework/tool, please specify:
GRESB

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?

- (A) At the asset level
- (B) At the economic activity level
- (C) At the company level
- (D) At the sector level
- (E) At the country/region level
- (F) At the global level
- (G) Other level(s), please specify:
- (H) We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?

- (A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes
- (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)
- (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)
- (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)
- (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- (H) Other method, please specify:
- (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

Information disclosed – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 46	CORE	OO 16	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – ESG assets	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external investment managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- (G) Our stewardship approach
- (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

Information disclosed – Passive ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 47	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – Passive ESG assets	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets that are passive listed equity and/or passive fixed income, how do you communicate changes in their ESG benchmark selection and construction?

- (A) We disclose details that would allow external parties to replicate or test the ESG index or benchmark
- (B) We disclose the main sources of ESG data, broad ESG assumptions and how this is used to develop ESG passive portfolios
- (C) We disclose a full list of all changes to methodologies
- (D) We disclose any changes that we deem significant to the methodology
- (E) We do not communicate changes to methodologies for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets that use ESG indices/benchmarks

Client reporting – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 48	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – ESG assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets?

- (A) Qualitative analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- (D) Stewardship results
- (E) Information on ESG incidents, where applicable
- (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- (G) Our stewardship approach
- (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

Client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 50	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – All assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your assets under management?

- (A) Qualitative ESG analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- (D) Stewardship results
- (E) Information on ESG incidents where applicable
- (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance

(G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our assets under management

Frequency of client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

ISP 51	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Frequency of client reporting – All assets	6
--------	------	------------------------	-----	--------	--	---

For the majority of each asset class, how frequently do you report ESG-related information to your clients?

(A) Listed equity (1) Quarterly

(B) Fixed income (1) Quarterly

(D) Real estate (4) On an ad hoc basis or upon request

(E) Infrastructure (2) Bi-annually

(F) Hedge funds (4) On an ad hoc basis or upon request

Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6
--------	------	---------	------------------------	--------	------------------------------	---

What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

- (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year
- (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report
- (D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report
- (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)
- (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)

- (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- (I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 58	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

Who has reviewed/verified the entirety of or selected data from your PRI report?

	(A) Board and/or trustees	(4) report not reviewed
	(B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))	(4) report not reviewed
	(C) Investment committee	(4) report not reviewed
	(D) Other chief-level staff, please specify: NA	(4) report not reviewed
	(E) Head of department, please specify: Director, Research and Responsible Investment	(1) the entire report
	(F) Compliance/risk management team	(1) the entire report
	(G) Legal team	(4) report not reviewed
	(H) RI/ ESG team	(1) the entire report
	(I) Investment teams	(4) report not reviewed

Listed Equity (LE)

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 10	LE 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors across listed equities?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1.1	CORE	LE 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active - Fundamental
(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your listed equity assets?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all assets	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of assets	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of assets	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 10	LE 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your financial modelling and equity valuation process incorporate material ESG risks?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) ESG risk is incorporated into financial modelling and equity valuations at the discretion of individual investment decision-makers, and we do not track this process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(E) We do not incorporate ESG risks into our financial modelling and equity valuations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3.1	CORE	LE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following material ESG risks into your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(1) Passive Equity

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (2) in the majority of cases

(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (2) in the majority of cases

(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations (2) in the majority of cases

(3) Active - Fundamental

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations (1) in all cases

Assessing ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 10	LE 4.1	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) We do not incorporate ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial modelling or equity valuation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4.1	CORE	LE 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following information when assessing the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(1) Passive equity

(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	(2) in the majority of cases
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(2) in the majority of cases

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(1) in all cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Outline one best practice or innovative example where ESG factors have been incorporated into your equity selection and research process.

As a leader in RI, DGAM has put in place several thematic strategies related to climate change and the need to provide low carbon equities strategies. In 2018, DGAM launched six responsible investment Low CO2 ETFs that will primarily invest in large and mid-cap companies from the Scientific Beta Universes; these ETFs also seek to deliver a significant reduction (more than 25%) in the weighted average carbon intensity relative to the cap-weighted index of the benchmark and while ensuring that all constituent issuers meet pre-determined ESG Standards. Those are: RI Active Canadian Bond - Low CO2 RI Canada - Low CO2 Index RI Canada Multifactor - Low CO2 RI USA - Low CO2 Index RI USA Multifactor - Low CO2 RI Developed ex-USA ex-Canada Multifactor - Low CO2 In 2019, DGAM launched two additional RI ETFs. The Desjardins RI Emerging Markets Multifactor - Low CO2; this ETF completes the Low CO2 suite of products in our offering by focusing on emerging markets. The second addition is a Global Fossil Fuel Reserves Free ETF. The methodology has been developed in partnership with Scientific Beta. This ETF uses both positive and negative ESG filters yet specifically excludes companies that are generally accepted to be facing severe Carbon Asset Stranding Risk. More specifically, exclusions are: classified in the Energy - Fossil Fuels business sector, which spans the (i) Coal, (ii) Oil and Gas and (iii) Oil and Gas Related Equipment and Services industry groups; and/or owning reserves of Fossil Fuels (coal, oil or natural gas); and/or with 10% or more of turnover deriving from thermal coal mining and power generation; and/or with 10% or more of thermal coal in their power generation fuel mix.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 10	LE 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other expressions of conviction (please specify below)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6.1	CORE	LE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases did ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(1) Passive equity

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
--	------------------

(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 7	PLUS	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Please provide two examples of how ESG factors have influenced weightings and tilts in either passive or active listed equity.

Provide examples below:

(A) Example 1:

At DGAM, there are two scenarios in which the allocation of capital is subject to ESG analysis. (1) Within our active management strategy dedicated to responsible investment as is the case with the Desjardins SocieTerra Canadian equity fund, and (2) for all of Desjardins Group's proprietary assets. The RI Canadian equity strategy relies on two different levels of ESG integration: - Individual stocks should be reviewed and accepted by the RI team before being added to the portfolio; - The weighted average ESG score (as provided by a relevant third-party supplier) should beat the benchmark index weighted average score by at least 10% with an active objective to maintain a 20% rating or better.

(B) Example 2:

Desjardins Group's proprietary assets are subject to the commitment that the carbon footprint of Desjardins' own assets invested in the markets is 25% less than the average greenhouse gas emissions of the companies that make up the stock and bond market indices. DGAM's investment team must integrate climate change considerations within their portfolio management.

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	CORE	OO 6.1 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our listed equity assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance
- (D) Other, please specify:
- (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

	(1) Passive equity	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual listed equities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency

(E) We do not conduct reviews

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your listed equity assets?

(1) Passive equity

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions

(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions

(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions

(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents

(E) Other

(F) We currently do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making

○

○

Passive equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Passive equity	1

What percentage of your total passive listed equity assets utilise an ESG index or benchmark?

25-50%

Reporting/Disclosure

Sharing ESG information with stakeholders

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 13	CORE	OO 6 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

(1) for all of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

(2) for the majority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

(3) for a minority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens

(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation

●

○

○

○

(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share them on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation

(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

What ESG information is covered in your regular reporting to stakeholders such as clients or beneficiaries?

(1) Passive equity

(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	2) In the majority of our regular stakeholder reporting

Stewardship

Voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 15.1, LE 16	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy? (The policy may be a standalone policy, part of a stewardship policy or incorporated into a wider RI policy.)

- (A) Yes, we have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy Add link(s):
<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/c15-policy-exercise-voting-right-e.pdf>
- (B) Yes, we have a (proxy) voting policy, but it is not publicly available
- (C) No, we do not have a (proxy) voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15.1	CORE	OO 9 LE, LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

What percentage of your listed equity assets does your (proxy) voting policy cover?

(A) Actively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy (12) 100%

(B) Passively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy (12) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 16	CORE	LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation's policy on (proxy) voting cover specific ESG factors?

- (A) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific governance factors Describe:
 Our policy includes voting guidelines on multiple governance factors. E.g DGAM will vote for proposals aimed at separating the functions of chair of the board and president and chief executive officer and/or assigning the BD's chair role to an independent director.
- (B) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific environmental factors Describe:

Our policy includes voting guidelines on multiple environmental factors. E.g DGAM will vote for proposals encouraging companies to produce an environmental report of their current or future operations, as well as those requiring the adoption of quantifiable objectives related to pollution load reduction.

(C) **Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific social factors Describe:**

Our policy includes voting guidelines on multiple social factors. E.g DGAM will vote for adopting codes of conduct or measures affecting any of the following issues: - policies against discrimination based on sex, skin colour, ethnic origin, religion or sexual orientation. -Fundamental rights in areas of conflict, -Assurance of adequate working conditions in all company facilities and by its subcontractors throughout the world.

(D) Our policy is high-level and does not cover specific ESG factors Describe:

Alignment & effectiveness

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 17	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Alignment & effectiveness	2

When you use external service providers to give voting recommendations, how do you ensure that those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

(A) We review service providers' controversial and high-profile voting recommendations before voting is executed (1) in all cases

(B) Before voting is executed, we review service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear (1) in all cases

Security lending policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 18.1, LE 18.2	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

- (A) We have a public policy to address voting in our securities lending programme. Add link(s):
- (B) **We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available**
- (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18.1	CORE	LE 18	N/A	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- (B) We always recall all holdings in a company for voting on ballot items deemed important (e.g. in line with specific criteria)
- (C) We always recall some securities so that we can vote on their ballot items (e.g. in line with specific criteria)
- (D) We maintain some holdings so that we can vote at any time
- (E) We recall some securities on an ad hoc basis so that we can vote on their ballot items
- (F) We empower our securities lending agent to decide when to recall securities for voting purposes
- (G) Other, please specify:
- (H) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18.2	CORE	LE 18	N/A	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

What exclusions do you apply to your organisation's securities lending programme?

- (A) We do not lend out shares of companies that we are engaging with either individually or as a lead or support investor in collaborative engagements
- (B) We do not lend out shares of companies if we own more than a certain percentage of them
- (C) We do not lend out shares of companies in jurisdictions that do not ban naked short selling
- (D) We never lend out all our shares of a company to ensure that we always keep voting rights in-house
- (E) Other, please specify:
- (F) We do not exclude any particular companies from our securities lending programme

Shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 19	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Shareholder resolutions	2

Which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions, or that of your service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them?

- (A) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors or on our stewardship priorities
- (B) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors but only if the investee company has not already committed publicly to the action requested in the proposal
- (C) In the majority of cases, we only support shareholder resolutions as an escalation tactic when other avenues for engagement with the investee company have not achieved sufficient progress
- (D) In the majority of cases, we support the recommendations of investee company management by default
- (E) In the majority of cases, we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 20	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?

- (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system
- (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:
- (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:
- (E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- (F) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions
- (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?

(A) Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes Link:

(B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:

13 <http://vds.issproxy.com/SearchPage.php?CustomerID=8673&language=fr>

(C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes 1) Add link and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:

(D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21.1	CORE	LE 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's AGM/EGM do you publish your voting decisions?

(A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM

(B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM

(C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM

(D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM

(E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?

- (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company
- (B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale
- (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22.1	CORE	LE 22	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Indicate the proportion of votes where you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicated the rationale for your voting decisions.

(A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company (1) 1–10%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 23.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2, 5

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

- (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly
- (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory

Example

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 25	PLUS	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Example	2

Provide examples of the most significant (proxy) voting activities that your organisation and/or the service provider acting on your behalf carried out during the reporting year.

Provide examples below:

(A) Example 1:

Promote fair compensation and alignment of shareholder and senior management interests. : According to our voting right policy (section 4.2.2.3 of document found at <https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/c15-policy-exercise-voting-right-e.pdf>), the incentive portion of any compensation should be linked to objective factors such as increased income or profitability, shareholder's return on investment, or other similar measures. Other factors linked to exercising corporate social responsibility (...) may also be considered.

DGAM supported 61% of shareholder proposals and 58% of management proposals directly related to senior management compensation plans. Moreover, the policy is generally opposed to stock option plans.

(B) Example 2:

Promote climate change action : According to our voting policy (article 4.3.2.4 and 4.3.2.B) of document found on <https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/pdf/c15-policy-exercise-voting-right-e.pdf>) DGAM generally expects that the companies it invests in to take the necessary measures to protect the environment, as concerns both their activities and their products or services. Moreover, DGAM will vote for proposals encouraging companies to produce an environmental report of their current or future operations, as well as those requiring the adoption of quantifiable objectives related to pollution load reduction. DGAM voted in favor of 65% of shareholder proposals directly related to the climate change issue. Among these proposals, Desjardins supported proposals on the implementation of GHG reduction targets, the impact assessment of a 2°C scenario and the development of strategies related to climate change.

(C) Example 3:

Encourage boardroom diversity: The DGAM voting rights policy reflects Desjardins' commitment to the 30% Club. As per Desjardins voted against the nominating committee chair when there are less than two women candidates and there is a lack of diversity on the board.

Fixed Income (FI)

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 10	FI 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors for its fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1.1	CORE	FI 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 10	FI 2.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits

(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors

(D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process, please specify below:

(E) We do not have a process to incorporate ESG factors into our portfolio risk management

Please specify for "(D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process".

The ESG practices of Canadian corporate are evaluated by our ESG investment team. DGAM uses SASB materiality map to analyse ESG issues of companies. The financial sector has ESG issues by sector; for example, ESG integration in the investment/ ending process. This evaluation is then shared and discussed with the investment team (Investment Analysts and Portfolio Managers) to enhance the analysis. A list of eligibility is created and assigned four colour codes: green and yellow are eligible companies, orange is for substitution or dialogue and red for substitution or rebalancing.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2.1	CORE	FI 2	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your fixed income assets are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

(1) SSA

(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto

(2) for the majority of our assets

(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits

(2) for the majority of our assets

(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors	(2) for the majority of our assets
(D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process	(2) for the majority of our assets
(2) Corporate	
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(1) for all of our assets
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our assets
(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors	(1) for all of our assets
(D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process	(1) for all of our assets

ESG incorporation in asset valuation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 10	FI 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

How do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(C) We do not incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into our fixed income asset valuation process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3.1	CORE	FI 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

(1) SSA

(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer

(2) in the majority of cases

(2) Corporate

(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer

(1) in all cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	OO 10	FI 5.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(1) SSA

(2) Corporate

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors

(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other expressions of conviction, please specify below:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify "(E) Other expressions of conviction".

Desjardins Group's proprietary assets are subject to the following commitment: the carbon footprint of our own assets invested in the markets is 25% less than the average greenhouse gas emissions of the companies that make up the stock and bond market indices. As a result, Portfolio Managers are responsible for managing the carbon footprint of the funds subject to Desjardins Group's climate change commitment. In this regard, a methodology and process were developed to cover corporate issuers.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5.1	CORE	FI 5	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(1) SSA

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
--	------------------------------

(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(2) Corporate	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(E) Other expressions of conviction	(1) in all cases

ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 7	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers	1

When assessing issuers'/borrowers' credit quality, how does your organisation incorporate material ESG risks in the majority of cases?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) In the majority of cases, we incorporate material governance-related risks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(B) In addition to incorporating governance-related risks, in the majority of cases we also incorporate material environmental and social risks	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

(C) We do not incorporate material ESG risks for the majority of our credit quality assessments of issuers/borrowers

o

o

ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG performance	1

In the majority of cases, how do you assess the relative ESG performance of a borrower within a peer group as part of your investment process?

(1) SSA

(2) Corporate

(A) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to adjust the internal credit assessments of borrowers by modifying forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to make relative sizing decisions in portfolio construction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to screen for outliers when comparing credit spreads to ESG relative performance within a similar peer group	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) We consider the ESG performance of a borrower only on a standalone basis and do not compare it within peer groups of other benchmarks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) We do not have an internal ESG performance assessment methodology	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9	CORE	OO 10	FI 9.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For your corporate fixed income, does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country and sector?

- (A) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)
- (B) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by sector
- (C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country/region and sector

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9.1	CORE	FI 9	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your corporate fixed income assets do you apply your framework for differentiating ESG risks by issuer country/sector?

	(1) for all of our corporate fixed income assets	(2) for the majority of our corporate fixed income assets	(3) for a minority of our corporate fixed income assets
(A) We differentiate ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(B) We differentiate ESG risks by sector	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual fixed income assets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) We do not conduct reviews that incorporate ESG risks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) We do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Time horizons

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Time horizons	1

In the majority of cases, how does your investment process account for differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We take into account current risks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) We take into account medium-term risks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) We take into account long-term risks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not take into account differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all of our assets	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of our assets ○ ○

(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of our assets ○ ○

(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process ○ ○

Passive

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 16	CORE	OO 5.2 FI, OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Passive	1

What percentage of your total passive fixed income assets utilise an ESG index or benchmark?

50-75%

Thematic bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 18	PLUS	OO 6 FI	FI 18.1	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

What proportion of your total thematic investments are labelled green bonds, social bonds and/or sustainability bonds by the issuers in accordance with the four ICMA Social/Green Bond Principles?

Proportion out of total thematic fixed income investments:

(A) Proportion of green/SDG bonds linked to environmental goals >75%

(B) Proportion of social/SDG bonds linked to social goals 0-25%

(C) Proportion of sustainability/SDG bonds (i.e. combination of green and social bonds linked to multiple SDG categories) 0-25%

(D) None of the above 0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 19	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

How do you determine which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in?

- (A) By reviewing the bond's use of proceeds
- (B) By reviewing companies' ESG targets
- (C) By reviewing companies' progress towards achieving ESG targets
- (D) We do not invest in non-labelled thematic bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 20	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1, 2, 6

What action do you take in the majority of cases where proceeds of a thematic bond issuer are not allocated to the original plan?

- (A) We engage with the issuer
- (B) We alert regulators
- (C) We alert thematic bond certification agencies
- (D) We sell the security
- (E) We publicly disclose the breach
- (F) We blacklist the issuer
- (G) Other action, please specify:
- (H) We do not take any specific actions when proceeds from bond issuers are not allocated in accordance with the original plan

Reporting/Disclosure

ESG screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 21	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG screens	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to list of ESG screens:

<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&>

(1) for all of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to ESG screen changes:

<https://www.desjardins.com/ressources/documents/c15-rapport-investissement-responsable-2019-e.pdf?navigMW=la&>

(1) for all of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries

(1) for all of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

Engagement

Engaging with issuers/borrowers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 22	CORE	OO 9 FI	FI 22.1	PUBLIC	Engaging with issuers/borrowers	2

At which stages does your organisation engage with issuers/borrowers?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) At the pre-issuance/pre-deal stage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) At the pre-investment stage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) During the holding period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) At the refinancing stage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) When issuers/borrowers default	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Sovereign bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 23	CORE	OO 9 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Sovereign bonds	2

For the majority of your sovereign bond engagements, which non-issuer stakeholders do you engage with to promote your engagement objectives?

- (A) Non-ruling parties
- (B) Originators and primary dealers
- (C) Index and ESG data providers
- (D) Multinational companies/state-owned enterprises (SOEs)
- (E) Supranational organisations
- (F) Credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- (G) Business associations
- (H) Media

- (I) NGOs, think tanks and academics
- (J) Other non-issuer stakeholders, please specify:
- (K) We do not engage with any of the above stakeholders for the majority of our sovereign bond engagements

Real Estate (RE)

Policy

Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 1	CORE	OO 24, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What real estate-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policies?

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach to real estate depending on use (e.g. retail, education etc.)
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to new construction
- (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to major renovations
- (D) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing real estate investments
- (E) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to property managers
- (F) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to tenants
- (G) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to construction contractors
- (H) Guidelines on excluding certain tenants based on responsible investment considerations
- (I) Our policies do not cover real estate-specific ESG guidelines

Fundraising

Commitments to investors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of your funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs) or side letters? (If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did close funds.)

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs as a standard, default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs upon client request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon client request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) Not applicable as we have never raised funds
- (F) Not applicable as we have not raised funds in the last 5 years

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3	CORE	N/A	RE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential real estate investments?

- | | |
|--|---|
| (A) We assessed materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique | (4) for none of our potential real estate investments |
| (B) We performed a mix of property type and asset-level materiality analysis | (1) for all of our potential real estate investments |
| (C) We assessed materiality according to property type only | (4) for none of our potential real estate investments |

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3.1	CORE	RE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential real estate investments?

- (A) We used GRI Standards to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- (C) We used climate risk disclosures such as the TCFD recommendations (or other climate risk analysis tools) to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- (D) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our real estate materiality analysis
- (E) Other, please specify:

Due diligence

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did ESG factors affect the selection of your real estate investments?

(A) ESG factors helped identify risks	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(B) ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(C) ESG factors helped identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(D) ESG factors helped identify opportunities for value creation	(3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
(E) ESG factors led to the abandonment of potential investments	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments
(F) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on revenue assumptions	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments
(G) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on CAPEX assumptions	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments

(H) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on OPEX assumptions

(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

(I) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on the cost of capital or discount rate assumptions

(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

(J) Other, please specify:

NA

(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

RE 5	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1
------	------	-----	-----	--------	---------------	---

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential investments?

(A) We do a high-level/desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags

(1) for all of our potential real estate investments

(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target properties

(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific issues

(1) for all of our potential real estate investments

(D) We conduct site visits and in-depth interviews with management and personnel

(1) for all of our potential real estate investments

(E) We incorporate actions based on the risks and opportunities identified in the due diligence process into our post-investment plans

(1) for all of our potential real estate investments

(F) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)

(1) for all of our potential real estate investments

(G) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)

(1) for all of our potential real estate investments

(H) Other, please specify:

NA

(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

Selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party property managers

Selection process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 6	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include ESG factors in all of your selections of external property managers? (If you did not select external property managers during the reporting year, report on the most recent year in which you selected external property managers.)

- (A) We requested information from potential managers on their overall approach to ESG
- (B) We requested track records and examples from potential managers on how they manage ESG factors
- (C) We requested information from potential managers on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders
- (D) We requested documentation from potential managers on their responsible procurement practices (including responsibilities, approach and incentives)
- (E) We requested the assessment of current and planned availability and aggregation of metering data from potential managers
- (F) Other, please specify:
- (G) We did not include ESG factors in our selection of external property managers

Appointment process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 7	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process	1, 4

How did you include ESG factors in the appointment of your current external property managers?

- (A) We set dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant property management phases (1) for all of our external property managers
- (B) We set clear ESG reporting requirements (1) for all of our external property managers

(C) We set clear ESG performance targets	(1) for all of our external property managers
(D) We set incentives related to ESG targets	(1) for all of our external property managers
(E) We included responsible investment clauses in property management contracts	(1) for all of our external property managers
(F) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our external property managers

Monitoring process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 8	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process	1, 4

How do you include ESG factors in the monitoring of external property managers?

(A) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative environmental targets	(1) for all of our external property managers
(B) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative social targets	(1) for all of our external property managers
(C) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative governance targets	(1) for all of our external property managers
(D) We monitor progress reports on engagement with tenants	(1) for all of our external property managers
(E) We require formal reporting on an annual basis as a minimum	(1) for all of our external property managers
(F) We have regular discussions about ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders	(1) for all of our external property managers
(G) We conduct a performance review of key staff based on ESG alignment linked to KPIs and a financial incentive structure	(4) for none of our external property managers

(H) We have internal/external parties conduct site visits at least once a year

(1) for all of our external property managers

(I) Other, please specify:

NA

(4) for none of our external property managers

Construction and development

Construction requirements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 9	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Construction requirements	1

What sustainability requirements do you currently have in place for all development projects and major renovations?

- (A) We require the management of waste by diverting construction and demolition materials from disposal
- (B) We require the management of waste by diverting reusable vegetation, rocks and soil from disposal
- (C) We require the minimisation of light pollution to the surrounding community
- (D) We require the minimisation of noise pollution to the surrounding community
- (E) We require the performance of an environmental site assessment
- (F) We require the protection of the air quality during construction
- (G) We require the protection and restoration of the habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development
- (H) We require the protection of surface and ground water and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants
- (I) We require the constant monitoring of health and safety at the construction site
- (J) Other, please specify:
- (K) We do not have sustainability requirements in place for development projects and major renovations

Minimum building requirements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 10	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Minimum building requirements	1

What minimum building requirements do you have in place for development projects and major renovations?

(A) We require the implementation of the latest available metering and IoT technology	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(B) We require that the building be able to obtain a recognised green building certification for new buildings	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(C) We require the use of certified (or labelled) sustainable building materials	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(D) We require the installation of renewable energy technologies where feasible	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(E) We require that development projects and major renovations become net-zero carbon emitters within five years of completion of the construction	(4) for none of our development projects and major renovations
(F) We require water conservation measures	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(G) We require common occupant health and well-being measures	(1) for all development projects and major renovations
(H) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our development projects and major renovations

Post-investment phase

Monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 11	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, what ESG building performance data did you collect for your real estate assets?

Through metering

(A) Electricity consumption	(1) for all of our real estate assets
(B) Water consumption	(3) for the minority of our real estate assets
(C) Waste production	(3) for the minority of our real estate assets

Through another method

(A) Electricity consumption	(4) for none of our real estate assets
(B) Water consumption	(3) for the minority of our real estate assets
(C) Waste production	(1) for all of our real estate assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

For the majority of the core KPIs that you tracked, how did you set targets across your real estate investments?

- (A) We set targets to achieve incremental improvements based on past performance
- (B) We set targets using industry benchmarks/standards
- (C) We set targets against global benchmarks or thresholds (e.g. on climate change and/or the SDGs)
- (D) We did not set targets for the core ESG KPIs that we tracked
- (E) We did not set targets as we don't track core ESG KPIs

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 13	CORE	OO 26	RE 13.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your ESG targets for your real estate investments?

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(B) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio	(4) for none of our real estate investments
(C) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed to achieve the target are put in place	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(D) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems and procedures	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(E) We collaborate and engage with our external property managers to develop action plans to achieve targets	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(F) We develop minimum health and safety standards	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(G) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 14	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG-related risks and opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

(A) We develop property-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(B) We adjust our ESG action plans regularly based on performance monitoring findings	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(C) We hire external advisors to provide support with specific ESG value creation opportunities	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(D) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

What proportion of your real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label?

- (A) All of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- (B) The majority of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- (C) A minority of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- (D) None of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label

Stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 17	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

How does your property manager engage with tenants? (If you are a property manager, please report on your direct tenant engagement.)

Tenants without operational control

(A) We engage with real estate tenants through organising tenant events focused on increasing sustainability awareness, ESG training and guidance	(1) for all of our buildings or properties
(B) We engage with real estate tenants on energy and water consumption and/or waste production	(1) for all of our buildings or properties
(C) We engage with real estate tenants by offering green leases	(1) for all of our buildings or properties
(D) We engage with real estate tenants through identifying collaboration opportunities that support net-zero targets	(3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
(E) We engage with real estate tenants by offering shared financial benefits from equipment upgrades	(4) for none of our buildings or properties
(F) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our buildings or properties

Exit

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 18	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information has your organisation shared with potential buyers of real estate investments?

(A) We shared our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(B) We shared a description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with (e.g. TCFD, GRESB)	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(C) We shared our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)	(1) for all of our real estate investments
(D) We shared our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered, in-house and/or with external support)	(4) for none of our real estate investments
(E) We shared the outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the property(s)	(4) for none of our real estate investments
(F) We shared key ESG performance data on the property(s) being sold	(4) for none of our real estate investments
(G) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our real estate investments

Reporting/Disclosure

ESG portfolio information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on core ESG data and targets to your investors or beneficiaries?

- (A) We reported in aggregate through a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- (C) We reported at the property level through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors or beneficiaries
- (F) We did ad hoc or informal reporting on serious ESG incidents
- (G) Other, please specify:
- (H) We did not report on core ESG data and targets to our investors or beneficiaries during the reporting year

Infrastructure (INF)

Policy

Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 1	CORE	OO 31, OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policies?

- (A) Guidelines on how we adapt our ESG approach for each infrastructure sector we invest in
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to new construction
- (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing investments or operating assets
- (D) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to workforce
- (E) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to third-party operators
- (F) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to contractors
- (G) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to other external stakeholders (e.g. government, local communities and end-users)

(H) Our policies do not cover infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines

Fundraising

Commitments to investors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of your funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs) or side letters? (If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did close funds.)

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs as a standard, default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs upon client request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon client request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) Not applicable as we have never raised funds
- (F) Not applicable as we have not raised funds in the last 5 years

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 3	CORE	N/A	INF 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential infrastructure investments?

(A) We assessed materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique

(2) for the majority of our potential infrastructure investments

(B) We performed a mix of industry-level and asset-level materiality analysis

(3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(C) We assessed materiality at the industry level only

(4) for none of our potential infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 3.1	CORE	INF 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential infrastructure investments?

- (A) We used GRI Standards to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (C) We used GRESB Materiality Assessment (RC7) or similar in our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards) in our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (E) We used climate risk disclosures such as the TCFD recommendations (or other climate risk analysis tools) to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (F) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (G) Other, please specify:
we used our own internal due diligence questionnaire that cover different subjects including ESG factors

Due diligence

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did ESG factors affect the selection of your infrastructure investments?

(A) ESG factors helped identify risks

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments selected

(B) ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments selected

(C) ESG factors helped identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments selected

(D) ESG factors helped identify opportunities for value creation

(4) for none of our infrastructure investments selected

(E) ESG factors led to the abandonment of potential investments	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments selected
(F) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on revenue assumptions	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments selected
(G) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on CAPEX assumptions	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments selected
(H) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on OPEX assumptions	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments selected
(I) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on the cost of capital or discount rate assumptions	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments selected
(J) Other, please specify: na	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments selected

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

INF 5	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1
-------	------	-----	-----	--------	---------------	---

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential investments?

(A) We do a high-level/desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target assets	(2) for the majority of our potential infrastructure investments
(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific issues	(2) for the majority of our potential infrastructure investments
(D) We conduct site visits and in-depth interviews with management and personnel	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments

(E) We incorporate actions based on the risks and opportunities identified in the due diligence process into the 100-day plan (or equivalent)	(3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
(F) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
(G) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
(H) Other, please specify: na	(4) for none of our potential infrastructure investments

Selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party operators

Selection process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 6	CORE	OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include ESG factors in all of your selections of external operators? (If you did not select external operators during the reporting year, report on the most recent year in which you selected external/third-party infrastructure operators.)

- (A) We requested information from potential operators on their overall approach to ESG
- (B) We requested track records and examples from potential operators on how they manage ESG factors
- (C) We requested information from potential operators on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders
- (D) We requested documentation from potential operators on their responsible procurement and/or contractor practices (including responsibilities, approach and incentives)
- (E) Other, please specify:
- (F) We did not include ESG factors in our selection of external operators

Appointment process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 7	CORE	OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process	1, 4

How did you include ESG factors in the appointment of your current external operators?

(A) We set clear and detailed expectations for incorporating ESG factors into all relevant elements of infrastructure asset management	(4) for none of our external operators
(B) We set clear ESG reporting requirements	(4) for none of our external operators
(C) We set clear ESG performance targets	(4) for none of our external operators
(D) We set incentives related to ESG targets	(4) for none of our external operators
(E) Other, please specify: na	(4) for none of our external operators

Monitoring process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 8	CORE	OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process	1, 4

How do you include ESG factors in the monitoring of external operators?

(A) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative environmental targets	(3) for a minority of our external operators
(B) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative social targets	(3) for a minority of our external operators
(C) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative governance targets	(2) for the majority of our external operators

(D) We have regular discussions about ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders	(3) for a minority of our external operators
(E) We conduct a performance review of key staff based on ESG alignment linked to KPIs and a financial incentive structure	(4) for none of our external operators
(F) We have internal/external parties conduct site visits at least once a year	(2) for the majority of our external operators
(G) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our external operators

Post-investment phase

Monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 9	CORE	N/A	INF 9.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more core ESG KPIs across all your infrastructure investments?

- (A) Yes, we tracked environmental KPIs
- (B) Yes, we tracked social KPIs
- (C) Yes, we tracked governance KPIs
- (D) We did not track ESG KPIs across our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 10	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

For the majority of the core KPIs that you tracked, how did you set targets across your infrastructure investments?

- (A) We set targets to achieve incremental improvements based on past performance
- (B) We set targets using industry benchmarks or standards
- (C) We set targets against global benchmarks or thresholds (e.g. on climate change and/or the SDGs)
- (D) We did not set targets for the core ESG KPIs that we track
- (E) We did not set targets as we don't track core ESG KPIs

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 11	CORE	OO 32	INF 11.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1,2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your ESG targets for your infrastructure investments?

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments
(B) We implement international best practice standards such as the IFC Performance Standards to guide ongoing assessment and analysis	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments
(C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments
(D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed to achieve the target are put in place	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments
(E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems and procedures	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments
(F) We collaborate and engage with our external operators to develop action plans to achieve targets	3/ For a minority of our infrastructure investments
(G) We develop minimum health and safety standards	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments
(H) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders (e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments and end-users)	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments
(I) Other, please specify: NA	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG-related risks and opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

(A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(B) We adjust our ESG action plans regularly based on performance monitoring findings	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(C) We hire external advisors to provide support with specific ESG value creation opportunities	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(D) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 14	CORE	N/A	INF 14.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the asset level?

(A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
(B) We mandate that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least once a year	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
(C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the asset to C-suite executives only	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the asset to employees (excl. C-suite executives)	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(E) We support the asset in developing and implementing its ESG strategy	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(F) We support the asset by finding external ESG expertise (e.g. consultants or auditors)	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
(G) We share best practices across assets (e.g. educational sessions, implementation of environmental and social management systems)	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
(H) We include incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(I) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments

Exit

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 15	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information has your organisation shared with potential buyers of infrastructure investments?

(A) We shared our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(B) We shared a description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with (e.g. TCFD or GRESB)	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(C) We shared our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(D) We shared our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered, in-house and/or with external support)	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(E) We shared the outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(F) We shared key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(G) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments

Reporting/Disclosure

ESG portfolio information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on core ESG data and targets to your investors or beneficiaries?

- (A) We reported in aggregate through a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- (C) We reported on the asset level through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors or beneficiaries
- (F) We did adhoc or informal reporting on serious ESG incidents
- (G) Other, please specify:
- (H) We did not report on core ESG data and targets to our investors or beneficiaries during the reporting year